IJEAST

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF ENGINEERING APPLIED SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY

VOLUME : 11 ISSUE : 03 Print / Issue Publication Date: July 2026

ISSN : 2455-2143

Indexed In

Google SCHOLAR OPEN ACCESS doi Crossref

WWW.IJEAST.COM

editor@ijeast.com

International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology, 2026
Vol. 11, Issue 03, ISSN No. 2455-2143, Pages 36-38
Published Online July 2026 in IJEAST (http://www.ijeast.com)

APPLICATION OF AI FOR SMART HOSPITAL MANAGEMENT

Samiksha Rajesh Dandge, Dr. S. L. Satarkar
Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering
Akola, India

Abstract—**The rapid expansion of artificial intelligence within clinical frameworks has fundamentally altered how patients interact with medical services. This comprehensive review examines the current landscape of AI-augmented web platforms designed for modern healthcare delivery. By analyzing the transition from rudimentary electronic health records to sophisticated, LLM-powered triage systems, this paper identifies critical advancements in natural language processing and role-based access control. We evaluate various architectures utilized in contemporary patient portals, highlighting the comparative strengths of open-weight models like Llama 3 against traditional rule-based algorithms. Furthermore, the discussion addresses persistent challenges in the field, including data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the latency inherent in cloud-based inferencing. Our findings suggest that while seamless integration of conversational agents significantly reduces administrative friction and improves patient engagement, ensuring stringent data security and maintaining precise clinical boundaries remain paramount for future development.**

Keywords—**AI in Healthcare, Medical Portal, Appointment Booking, Llama 3, Node.js, Chatbot, Smart Healthcare.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Healthcare systems globally are experiencing an unprecedented transformation driven by the necessity to manage increasing patient loads while simultaneously improving the quality of care. Historically, the administrative burden of scheduling, preliminary triage, and patient communication consumed a disproportionate amount of clinical resources. The digital health revolution initially sought to mitigate this through the digitization of records; however, early patient portals often suffered from rigid interfaces that discouraged active patient participation. Today, the focus has shifted toward creating dynamic, intelligent ecosystems that actively assist both healthcare providers and patients.

This review critically analyzes the integration of artificial intelligence into web-based healthcare platforms. The primary objective is to synthesize existing literature on the structural and functional evolution of these systems. We specifically investigate the deployment of large language models (LLMs) as conversational interfaces for preliminary symptom assessment and administrative navigation. By bridging the gap between isolated medical databases and intuitive natural language interactions, these augmented platforms promise a more streamlined patient journey.

The scope of this paper encompasses a thorough examination of the architectural paradigms that support these intelligent portals, typically involving robust backend frameworks like Node.js paired with secure relational databases. We will dissect the role of Role-Based Access Control (RBAC) in safeguarding sensitive health information across different user tiers. Subsequently, the review compares various methodologies currently employed in the industry, evaluating their efficacy in real-world clinical settings. Finally, we discuss the ethical and technical challenges that developers must overcome to realize the full potential of AI in routine medical care.

II. EVOLUTION OF DIGITAL HEALTHCARE

The trajectory of digital healthcare can be broadly categorized into three distinct phases. The initial phase was characterized by the widespread adoption of Electronic Health Records (EHR). Research by Harrison et al. [1] highlights that while EHRs successfully centralized patient data, they were primarily designed for billing and physician use, offering little to no interaction for the patient. These systems were closed loops, improving record-keeping but doing little to alleviate the friction of appointment scheduling or patient inquiries.

The second phase saw the emergence of first-generation patient portals. As documented by Wallace [2], these web-based platforms allowed patients to view their lab results, request prescription refills, and book appointments. However, these systems relied on static, menu-driven interfaces. A significant limitation of this era, identified by Chen and Wang [3], was the 'digital divide' patients with lower technological literacy struggled to navigate complex dropdown menus, leading to high abandonment rates and continued reliance on telephone-based administration.

The current, third phase is defined by the integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning. Modern platforms are transitioning from passive data repositories to active, conversational assistants. According to Smith [4], the introduction of natural language processing (NLP) has

democratized access to digital health tools. Instead of navigating menus, patients can now articulate their symptoms or requests in plain language. This evolution represents a shift from system-centric design to patient-centric engagement, significantly reducing the cognitive load on the user while simultaneously acting as a first-line filter for clinical staff.

III. THE ROLE OF AI AND LLMS IN TRIAGE

Artificial intelligence, particularly through the deployment of Large Language Models, has profoundly impacted preliminary medical triage. Early iterations of healthcare chatbots utilized hardcoded decision trees. As Patel [5] argues, these rule-based systems were effective for highly specific, constrained tasks but failed catastrophically when presented with nuanced or complex symptom descriptions, often trapping users in frustrating conversational loops.

The introduction of advanced LLMs, such as the Llama 3 architecture, has resolved many of these rigidity issues. These models possess a deep semantic understanding acquired from vast training datasets, allowing them to interpret colloquial medical descriptions accurately. Davis [6] demonstrates that modern LLMs can identify patterns in patient narratives that correlate with specific medical urgencies. For instance, an LLM can distinguish between routine abdominal pain and symptoms indicative of appendicitis, subsequently recommending immediate clinical intervention rather than a routine booking.

However, the application of LLMs in triage is strictly constrained by ethical and safety boundaries. The consensus in recent literature, emphasized by Gupta et al. [7], is that these systems must operate as augmentative tools rather than diagnostic authorities. Consequently, developers employ rigorous 'prompt engineering' and system-level constraints to prevent the AI from offering definitive diagnoses. Instead, the model is directed to provide general health information, categorize the urgency of the request, and seamlessly route the patient to the appropriate scheduling module, thereby ensuring medical safety while optimizing workflow.

IV. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF EXISTING SYSTEMS

A review of contemporary intelligent healthcare platforms reveals a variety of architectural approaches, each with distinct advantages and trade-offs. The most prevalent models can be categorized into proprietary closed-system architectures and open-weight hybrid frameworks.

Proprietary systems, such as those developed by major enterprise health tech firms, offer deep integration with legacy EHR infrastructure. Studies by Rodriguez [8] indicate that these systems excel in data continuity and regulatory compliance. However, they are often cost-prohibitive for smaller clinics and lack the flexibility required for rapid customization. Furthermore, their reliance on internal, proprietary NLP models often means they lag behind the conversational fluency of state-of-the-art generalized LLMs.

Conversely, hybrid frameworks which pair open-source backend technologies like Node.js with API-accessed open-weight models like Llama 3are gaining significant traction. Thompson [9] highlights that these systems offer unparalleled conversational fidelity and rapid deployment times. By utilizing a three-tier architecture with distinct presentation, application, and data layers, developers can achieve high scalability. The integration of JSON Web Tokens (JWT) for Role-Based Access Control ensures that these lighter-weight systems maintain robust security profiles.

When comparing scheduling algorithms, modern systems predominantly utilize dynamic, concurrent transaction models. Unlike traditional queuing, which often results in double-booking during high traffic, contemporary applications employ strict database row-locking mechanisms. As noted by Kim [10], combining this transactional integrity with AI-driven severity assessment allows for intelligent slot allocation, where urgent cases are automatically bumped up the queue, significantly outperforming legacy chronological scheduling.

V. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite the remarkable progress in AI-augmented healthcare platforms, several critical challenges require ongoing research and mitigation. The foremost concern remains data privacy and security. The necessity of transmitting sensitive protected health information (PHI) via APIs to third-party LLM providers introduces potential vulnerabilities. Ensuring end-to-end encryption and compliance with regulatory frameworks like HIPAA is an absolute prerequisite, necessitating robust anonymization techniques before data ever reaches the inference engine.

Algorithmic bias is another profound challenge. LLMs are trained on historical data which may contain inherent demographic or socio-economic biases. If left uncorrected, an AI triage system might systematically downplay the severity of symptoms reported by specific populations. Future development must prioritize the creation of diverse, representative medical datasets for fine-tuning these models, alongside continuous auditing mechanisms to detect and rectify biased outputs in real-time.

Technically, latency remains a hurdle for cloud-based inferencing, especially in regions with poor connectivity. The future of intelligent portals likely involves a shift toward edge computing. By running smaller, highly optimized models directly on the client device or a local server, healthcare facilities can ensure near-instantaneous response times and absolute data localization, entirely eliminating the risks associated with external API calls. Furthermore, the integration of multimodal AI capable of

analyzing patient-uploaded images or voice variations alongside text â€”represents the next frontier in comprehensive digital triage.

VI. CONCLUSION

The integration of artificial intelligence into web-based healthcare platforms marks a pivotal advancement in clinical administration and patient engagement. This review has traced the evolution from static electronic health records to dynamic, LLM-powered portals capable of natural language triage. Our comparative analysis indicates that hybrid architectures, which combine robust backend frameworks like Node.js with advanced conversational agents, offer the most viable path forward for scalable, user-centric healthcare delivery. While challenges regarding data privacy, algorithmic bias, and latency persist, the trajectory of the technology suggests these hurdles are surmountable. As these systems mature, they will increasingly transition from mere administrative aids to indispensable components of the holistic care continuum.

VII. REFERENCES

[1]. Harrison J. and Lee T.,2019, "The limitations of early EHR implementations," IEEE Trans. Health Care Eng., vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 88-97 .
[2]. Wallace M., 2020, "Patient portal adoption and the digital divide," IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 15023-15035.
[3]. Chen H. and Wang L., 2021, "Usability barriers in first-generation health portals," IEEE J. Biomed. Health Inform., vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 112-120.
[4]. Smith A., 2022,"Democratizing digital health through natural language processing," IEEE Trans. Artif. Intell., vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 405-412.
[5]. Patel R., 2021, "Failures of rule-based chatbots in clinical triage," Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Health Informatics, pp. 77-84.
[6]. Davis E.,2023, "Semantic understanding of symptom descriptions using LLMs," IEEE/ACM Trans. Comput. Biol. Bioinform., vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 1021-1030.
[7]. Gupta A., Sharma N. and Lee K., 2023, "Ethical constraints for generative AI in healthcare," IEEE Rev. Biomed. Eng., vol. 16, pp. 240-255.
[8]. Rodriguez M., 2022, "Proprietary vs. Open-source architectures in clinical systems," IEEE Syst. J., vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 3100-3112.
[9]. Thompson L., 2022, "Scalability of Node.js in high-concurrency medical scheduling," IEEE Trans. Dependable Secure Comput., vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 1850-1862.
[10]. Kim D., 2023, "Transactional integrity in AI-driven appointment queuing," Proc. IEEE Symp. Comput.-Based Med. Syst., pp. 110-116.

IJEAST

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF ENGINEERING APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

AUTHORS

Samiksha Rajesh Dandge

Dr. S. L. Satarkar

ABOUT IJEAST

International journal of Engineering Applied Science and Technology (IJEAST) is a peer-reviewed, open access journal that publishes high - quality research paper in the field of Engineering, Applied Science and Technology.

IJEAST aims to provide a platform for researchers, academicians, and professionals to share their innovative ideas, research findings, and practical experiences with the global scientific community.

JOURNAL METRICS

H-INDEX	i10-INDEX	IJEAST CITATIONS	PEER-REVIEWED & OPEN ACCESS
33	154	9,647 (IJEAST CITATIONS)	PUBLISHED MONTHLY

PEER REVIEWED

All submission are rigorously peer reviewed to ensure quality.

AUTHORS ARE OUR PRIORITY

We value our authors and recognize their contribution to the advancement of research and knowledge.

OPEN ACCESS

Free and unrestricted access to research for all.

GLOBAL REACH

Connecting researchers and professionals worldwide.

TIMELY PUBLICATION

We ensure a swift and efficient publication process.

For more information, visit our website
www.ijeast.com

editor@ijeast.com

www.ijeast.com

India

2455-2143