STEP RESPONSE OF CONTROLLERS IN HVDC TRANSMISSION LINK CONNECTED TO A WEAK INVERTER SIDE AC SYSTEM
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Abstract— Transmission of electrical energy with High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) has provided the electric power industry with a dominant means to transmit huge quantity of electricity over very long distances. To investigate the performance, a well-developed current source converter based HVDC transmission system model is projected, in which the AC system represented as damped LLR equivalent and is equipped with double tuned harmonic filter to mitigate the AC-DC harmonics and the DC system is secured with rectifier current control, inverter current control and inverter extinction angle control. The MATLAB/Simulink based simulation results validate the step response of HVDC transmission system with various controllers.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The power transmission through HVDC technology is now mature and experiencing rapid increases in the voltage, power carrying capacity and length of transmission lines. While comparing with three phase HVAC transmission systems, HVDC transmission system is commendable in the following portions: (i) HVDC transmission line cost and operating cost are less, (ii) it need not operate synchronously between two AC systems linked by HVDC and (iii) it is simple to control and adjust the power flow [1]. HVDC transmission system is composed of three major parts: a) rectifier station to convert AC to DC, b) transmission link and c) inverter station to convert back to AC. Most of the HVDC systems have line commutated converters. Various control techniques are employed for the control and protection of the line and converter against faults [2]. The thyristor based HVDC system naturally absorbs a large amount of reactive power in rectifier stations and inverter stations [3], [4]. By means of filters and/or capacitor banks connected on the primary side of the converter transformer, the reactive power is supplied for HVDC links connected to strong AC systems [5] [6].

Because of the speedy increase in HVDC power transmission schemes, the behaviours of HVDC systems are playing ever greater roles in the performance of entire AC/DC power systems. It is significant to thoroughly understand the mechanisms of the interactions between an HVDC system and an AC network so the HVDC scheme can be operated in a manner that enhances the stability of the entire power grid. The significance of this interaction largely depends on the strength of the AC system at the converter bus [7]. The strength of the AC system is demonstrated by its ability to maintain the voltage at the converter bus during various disturbances in the power system, such as faults etc. Their influence on station design and performance is assessed with reference to the AC-DC system strength, which is generally expressed by the short-circuit ratio (SCR), i.e., the ratio of the AC-system short-circuit capacity to DC-link power: SCR =S/Pdc. Here S is the AC system three-phase symmetrical short-circuit level in megavolt-amperes (MVA) at the converter terminal AC bus with 1.0 p.u AC terminal voltage, and Pdc is the rated DC terminal power in megawatts (MW).

The following SCR values can be used to classify AC systems [8]: a) a strong AC system is categorized by SCR >3, b) a weak AC system is categorized by 2 ≤ SCR < 3, c) a very weak AC system is categorized by SCR < 2.

In order to know the interaction between AC network and HVDC system, a lot of work has been done until now. The voltage stability associated phenomena [9] at HVDC terminals feeding weak AC network and solutions for eradicating the risks of voltage collapse and for evading control induced oscillations were discussed. The Nelson River HVDC system is analyzed with new synchronous compensators in [10] and also tinted planning requirements and synchronous compensators specification to optimize power delivery by the DC links. An analysis of the dynamic performance of HVDC systems [11] connected to a weak AC system is carried out for various exciter characteristics of synchronous machines connected to the converter bus. The direct transient stability
margin (TSM) prediction method [12] based on the extended equal area criterion is used for the integration of HVDC transmission system and SVC into the power system. The usage of STATCOM at the inverter end of a classical HVDC system for the reactive power support is deliberated in [13]. The coordination between STATCOM and HVDC classic link feeding a weak AC network is examined in [14] with two different control technique during various fault conditions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Modelling of HVDC Transmission System is explained in section II. 3. HVDC MATLAB Simulation results are presented in section III. Concluding remarks are given in section IV.

II. MODELLING OF HVDC TRANSMISSION SYSTEM

A line commutated converter based monopolar HVDC transmission system of 500 kV, 2 kA (1000 MW) shown in the figure 1 is used for the model development.

Fig 1. Monopolar HVDC transmission system model feeding a weak AC network

A. The AC Network –

The rectifier side AC system of 500 kV, 5000 MVA, 60 Hz network (AC system 1, SCR of 5) to 345 kV and inverter side AC system of 2500 MVA, 50 Hz network (AC system 2, SCR of 2.5) are represented by damped LLR equivalents [15] with an angle of 80 degrees at fundamental frequency (60 Hz or 50 Hz) and at the third harmonic. This is likely to be more representative in the case of resonance at low frequencies. The Passive filters of 300MVAR is connected in the source side to eliminate the 11th and 13th (the double tuned type) [16] order and above 24th (second order high pass filter) order current harmonics and a synchronous condenser (300MVAR) for reactive power compensation.

B. Converter transformer –

The 1200 MVA converter transformers (Wye grounded/Wye/Delta) are modelled with Three-Phase Transformer (Three-Winding) blocks. The parameters adopted (based on AC rated conditions) are considered typical for transformers found in HVDC installation such as leakage: X = 0.24 per unit [17]. The transformer tap changers are not simulated. The tap position is quite at a set position determined by a duplication factor applied to the primary nominal voltage of the converter transformers (0.90 on the rectifier side; 0.96 on the inverter side).

C. Converters –

The rectifier and the inverter are 12-pulse converters have been modelled using two Universal Bridge blocks [18] connected in series. The Universal Bridge blocks is a compact representation of a DC converter, which includes a built in 6-pulse Graetz converter bridge (can be inverter or rectifier) and Series RC snubber circuits are connected in parallel with each switch device.

D. DC network –

The DC network model consists of a smoothing reactor for the rectifier and the inverter bridges, a passive filter of double tuned type to mitigate the 12th and 24th order DC voltage harmonics [19] and the DC line. The DC link of 300 km is modelled as distributed parameter line model with lumped losses. In this model, the lossless distributed LC line is characterized by two values namely the surge impedance and the phase velocity.

E. HVDC Control and Protection –

The rectifier is equipped with a current controller to maintain the DC system current constant. The DC system current at the rectifier end is measured with the proper transducers and pass through the appropriate filters. After filtering, the measured currents are compared to the reference currents to produce error signals. The error signal from converter side is then passed through the PI controller to produce firing angle order. The firing circuit which is synchronized with the AC system through phase locked loop uses the angle order, to produce the necessary equidistant pulses for the valves. The inverter is provided with a current controller and a constant extinction angle controller to maintain the DC system current and
extinction angle constant. The DC system current at the inverter end is measured with the proper transducers and pass through the appropriate filters. After filtering, the measured currents are compared to the reference currents to produce error signals. The error signal from converter side is then passed through the PI controller to produce firing angle order. Similarly, the DC system extinction angle at the inverter end is measured with the proper transducers and passes through the appropriate filters. After filtering, the measured extinction angle are compared to the reference extinction angle to produce error signals. The error signal from converter side is then passed through the PI controller to produce firing angle order. These two firing angle orders are compared, and the minimum is used to produce the firing pulses for the valves [20].

The reference currents for the CC controllers are obtained from the master controller outputs through the voltage dependent current order limiter (VDCOL) [21] which can reduce the reference value of direct current (Idref) in case of the large decline in direct voltage, to suppress the over-current and maintain the system voltage. In normal state, there is a small margin (Idmarg) between the direct current references of the two constant-current controllers. Since Idref-inverter will be smaller than Idref-rectifier, the output of the constant-current controller configured in the inverter side will be regulated to its maximum, and accordingly this controller will not be selected among the two controllers. Then, the inverter’s firing angle will be dominated by the constant-voltage controller. To protect the rectifier and the inverter DC protection functions are implemented in each converter. The DC fault protection circuit at the rectifier detects and force the delay angle into the inverter region to quench the fault current. The commutation failure prevention control circuit at the inverter detects various AC fault and reduce the utmost delay angle limit to decrease the risk of commutation failure [22]. The Low AC Voltage Detection circuit at the rectifier and inverter serves to categorize between an AC fault and a DC fault.

III. HVDC MATLAB SIMULATION

The HVDC transmission systems model is implemented in the working platform of MATLAB adapting above mentioned range of features based on the data in [23] with essential modifications. For step response analysis, the system has been simulated for duration of 2 sec. in MATLAB-Simulink environment.

A. Step response of current regulators

In order to observe the step response of current regulators at both rectifier and inverter side, at $t = 0.5s$, a -0.2 p.u. step is applied for a duration of 0.1s to the reference current. The figures 4 and 5 shows the step response of the current regulators in rectifier and inverter side respectively. The step change is effected in less than 200 ms, and the step response is well controlled and stable. As it clear that the extinction angle regulator plays a vital role in the control of inverter.

B. Step response of extinction angle regulator

In order to observe the dynamic response of extinction angle regulator at inverter side, at $t = 1s$, the extinction angle is raised from 18 to 23 degree for a duration of 0.1s. The figures 4 and 5 also shows the response of the inverter voltage regulator in rectifier and inverter side respectively. The step change is effected in less than 300 ms, and the step response is well controlled and stable.
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IV. CONCLUSION
The HVDC transmission system model connected to weak AC system is implemented in the Matlab/Simulink environment and the step response of the controllers in controlling the desired current and extinction angle for typical step change is investigated by observing the rectifier DC quantities and inverter DC quantities. Simulation results show that the step change is well controlled by the corresponding controllers.
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