EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON THREE STOREY BUILDING FRAME MODEL
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Abstract—Experimental investigations were conducted on a three storey building frame model comprising of columns and slabs, where the frame is rectangular in plan with stiffness and mass properties distributed uniformly in plan as well as in elevation. Also, the frame is designed to facilitate the visualization of the first three mode shapes with bare eyes. The base of the building frame was subjected to harmonic motion using horizontal shake table. This experiment also enables the understanding the occurrence of resonance phenomenon in simple multi-degree of freedom (MDOF) systems. The frequency of the base motion can be varied by changing the RPM of the electric motor; it is also possible to vary the amplitude. The natural frequencies and mode shapes were obtained. The same building frame was analyzed using ETABS 2015 for both natural frequencies and mode shapes. The experimental and analytical values of natural frequencies obtained were compared where the analytical values obtained from the ETABS 2015 were found closer to the experimental values.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Several sizeable earthquakes have caused severe damage in civil structures all over the world. To protect civil structures from significant damage, the response reduction of civil structures under such severe earthquakes has become an important topic in structural engineering. Therefore study of the response of structure is very important [1]. Recently it has become mandatory to design all the civil engineering structures including load effects. The determination of natural frequencies and mode shapes is of vital importance in the dynamic analysis of structures [2].

Shake table is a mechanical device that is used to produce simple harmonic excitation by which response of a structure is obtained. It is very important for the engineers while designing a structure that the resonant frequencies of the component part do not match with the oscillating frequency of the vibratory parts as it may cause violent swaying motions thereby leading to catastrophic disasters and failure of structure. So, in order to check this resonant frequency shake tables can be used [3]. A few of the dynamic studies made by earlier research workers are briefly mentioned here. C. G. Konapure et.al (2018) [4] has studied the seismic performance of multistory building to identify the most influential structural parameters. The dynamic property such as time period of multistory building is determined analytically and experimentally. The analytical results are compared with experimental results. The effect of experimental results (time period) on dynamic property and design of building elements also evaluated. Rafiqul Islam et.al (2018) [5] has studied Structural properties (damping ratio, stiffness, natural frequency, natural period, mode shape) of a three-story model frame and its deflection behavior under repetitive strong shaking is investigated through shaking table tests. Further effectiveness of various bracing system under multidirectional seismic excitation is analytically investigated.

In the present work a shake table test is performed on a three-storied building frame and the natural frequencies and mode shapes are determined. These experimental results are compared with the ETABS 2015.
II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

A. Investigational model –

![Fig. 1. Schematic Diagram of Three-Story frame](image)

Table - 1 Geometric Data of the Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No</th>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Dimension in mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Column</td>
<td>Depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Slab</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The test building model is a regular three-storied rectangular model made up of steel. The modulus of elasticity and Poisson ratio of steel are 210 GPa and 0.3 respectively. The material of the model is a linear elastic isotropic material with a density of 7850 kg/m³.

B. Experimental procedure –

![Fig. 2. Experimental Model mounted on a Horizontal Shake Table](image)

The procedure is as follows:

Part 1: Initial setting of amplitude
- Using an allen key slack the grub screw which is on outer cam.
- Allen key is then placed in the grub screw which is pointed out with red shading.
- Flywheel is then revolved in counter-clockwise direction by holding the allen key till the allen key contacts plate of the interfacing pole, which is set apart with red shading.
- Slacken the red hued grub screw without troubling the situation of the allen key.
- Rotate the flywheel in counter-clockwise direction to modify the amplitude with the help of red pointer.
- Adjust the flywheel at any ideal amplitude concerning the pointer, let us state “1” and fix the red shaded grub screw without upsetting the allen key position and afterward fix another grub screw which is set inverse to the red hued grub screw.
- Presently fix appropriately two grub screws to the most extreme.

Part 2: Fixing the model and experiment conduction
- Now place the experimental model on the rotating table and tighten the mounting bolts of the model.
- Fix 4 accelerometers at bottom similarly at every floor levels so that dislocation along x-direction can be gotten. At that point interface the USB link from MILDAQ System to Computer/Laptop.
- Turn ON the mains and the MCB. Press the “RUN/START” button on the control board. A little red pointer inside the drive will sparkle and the showcase will show “0.00”.
- For a given engine RPM (Frequency), permit the frame to oscillate for a couple of moments with the goal that the frame arrives at its firm position. At this phase record data from the software comparing dislocation values for every floor. Recognize the frequencies at which the structure experiences reverberation by watching the variety of reaction amplitudes. At reverberation of the model, record the natural frequency and comparing displacement data.
- When examination is completed press the “RESET” button on control board which will carry back the frequency to zero. Put off the MCB.

C. Experimental results
Fig. 3. Graph of Displacement of Floors for 1mm Amplitude

Fig.3. exhibits the graph of displacement of floors for the amplitude of 1mm, as the frequency increases the values of displacement in the different floors will be decreasing and there will be slight increase in the displacement of the floors at the natural frequencies.

The 3 natural frequencies gained are,
\( f_1 = 3.74 \text{ Hz} \), \( f_2 = 10.88 \text{ Hz} \) and \( f_3 = 16.29 \text{ Hz} \)
The natural time period with respect to natural frequencies are,
\( T_{n1} = 0.267 \text{ sec} \), \( T_{n2} = 0.091 \text{ sec} \) and \( T_{n3} = 0.061 \text{ sec} \)

Table – 2 Deformed Pattern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st mode shape</th>
<th>2nd mode shape</th>
<th>3rd mode shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st floor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd floor</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd floor</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. ANALYTICAL METHOD

A. Methodology –
ETABS is an ultimate integrated software package for the structural analysis and design of buildings where it is utilized to carry out limited component examination. Modeling type of shell-thin component is utilized for the element of slab. Similarly for the column element beam component is utilized. The column and slab of the structure outline model are demonstrated as portrayed previously. The support is compelled in every direction and the modular examination is finished utilizing eigen-value framework technique [2]. The examination model is appeared in Fig.4.

B. Analytical results –

For 3 storey building frame without bracing,

The 3 natural frequencies gained from ETABS are,
\( f_1 = 3.90 \text{ Hz} \), \( f_2 = 10.86 \text{ Hz} \) and \( f_3 = 15.52 \text{ Hz} \)
The natural time period with respect to natural frequencies are,
\( T_{n1} = 0.256 \text{ sec} \), \( T_{n2} = 0.092 \text{ sec} \) and \( T_{n3} = 0.064 \text{ sec} \)

Table - 3 Deformed Pattern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st mode shape</th>
<th>2nd mode shape</th>
<th>3rd mode shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st floor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd floor</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd floor</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 5. (a) First mode shape (b) Second mode shape (c) Third mode shape

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table – 4 Comparison of Natural Frequencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>Analytical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4.35%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>10.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.15%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>15.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4.70%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The percentage of error relative to experimental value is mentioned within parentheses.

Fig. 6. Graph of Natural Frequency versus Mode

V. CONCLUSION

- For three storey building frame model experiment has been conducted for an 1mm amplitude. Which shows that as the frequency increases the displacement of floors reduces. For that the natural frequency, natural time period and mode shapes were gained.
- From software ETABS the natural frequencies, natural time period and mode shapes were obtained for a model. Comparatively the results obtained are faster hence it is time saving.
- The experimental and analytical values of natural frequencies obtained were compared where the analytical values obtained from the ETABS 2015 were found close enough to the experimental values.
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