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#### Abstract

The main aim of the present research paper is to use linear programming problem in Small scale Industries for example paper, textiles, plastic and many more to help minimize the cost of manufacturing. There are the different models for solving the one dimensional, two dimensional, three dimensional and more models for stock cutting problem in the manufacturing industry. Linear programming (LP) is one of the simplest ways to perform optimization. It helps you solve some very complex optimization problems by making a few simplifying assumptions. As an analyst you are bound to come across applications and problems to be solved by Linear Programming.
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## I. Introduction

Most of small and large paper manufacturing companies are linear programming based (Dantzig (1998)). Cutting stock problem is the length problem of making the material available at a given cost the cost of a specified number of sizes of material to be cut from the specified stock by the customer at a minimum cost (Tanir et al (2016)).This difficulty persists when there is only one approximate solution. This problem can be solved by linear programming (Chvatal et al (1983)). Linear programming is described to overcome the difficulty of formulation(Gilmore et al (1961)). The large numbers of variables involved usually computes and solve the problem by linear programming techniques. In this study the pattern oriented approach is adopted to construct the cutting stock model(De Carvalho(2002)), a cutting pattern algorithm (Wang (1983)) is developed and solve by Lingo software (Krishnaraj et al (2015)) has been used. Linear programming (LP) model is containing by several possible patterns (Ogunranti et al (2016)). In industries such as paper, plastic food wrap, and textiles, products are initially manufactured in large economically manufactured sizes (Stier (1985)). These sizes
are cut into smaller, more usable sizes because the product is held by the consumer (Paine et al (2012)).

## II. Proposed Algorithm

## A. Cutting paper Problem -

Bharat paper industry produces a large number of papers. The price of raw materials is an important part of the purchase of paper sheets (Gao et al (2003)). Currently, sheet paper is purchased in three different size widths: 72 inches, 48 inches and 36 inches. In the manufacturing process, eight different widths of paper sheets are required (inches): $60,56,42,38$, $34,24,15$ and 10 . All uses need the equal quality and thickness of paper sheet (Machelen et al (2016)). A constant problem is cutting paper waste (Denen et al (2003)). For example, single way to cut a 72 -inch width of paper is to move it to a 38 -inch width and two 15 -inch widths. After this, 4 -inch trim waste will have to be removed. The linear foot prices of width of three different raw materials are 31.05 kg for width $36,41.4 \mathrm{~kg}$ for width 48 and 62.1 kg for " 72 " width. Ordinary arithmetic shows that the cost is three inches per inch. Widths for 36 -inch, 48 -inch and 72 -inch widths respectively are Rs. is 69 kg / inch. The paper can be cut into any possible solution (Haessler (1992)). Potential cutting patterns are tabulated below to eliminate the width of the three raw materials. For example, pattern A 1 is related to cutting a paper of 72 inches wide. There is 60 " wide with, one $10^{\prime \prime}$ inches width and $2^{\prime \prime}$ left as trim waste. The sizes of different widths necessary in this plan period are:

| Width(in <br> ches) | 60 | 56 | 42 | 38 | 34 | 24 | 15 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number <br> of sheet <br> required | 50 | 40 | 30 | 45 | 35 | 10 | 80 | 10 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |  |  |

The raw material achievements during this scheduling period are 150 kg of 72 inch paper and 100 kg each of 48 inch and 36
inch width. How many feet of each pattern should be cut to reduce costs while meeting different width requirements?

## B. Total formulation of the problem -

Representations of A1, A2,..., E4 Show the number of widths to cut the equivalent pattern in the following table:

| Raw Materials Cutting Patterns and Number of cuts required width |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pattern | 60 | 56 | 42 | 38 | 34 | 24 | 15 | 10 | Waste |
| situation | 72" width Raw Material |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMT1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| AMT2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| AMT3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| AMT4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| AMT5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| AMT6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| AMT7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMT8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMT9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMT10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| AMT11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| AMT12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| AMT13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| AMT14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| AMT15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| AMT16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| AMT17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| AMT18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMT19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| AMT20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |


| AMT21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AMT22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| AMT23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| AMT24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| AMT25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| AMT26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| AMT27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| AMT28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| AMT29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| situation | 48" width Raw Material |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMT30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| AMT31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| AMT32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| AMT33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AMT34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| AMT35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| AMT36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| AMT37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| AMT38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| AMT39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| situation | 48' width Raw Material |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMT40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| AMT41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| AMT42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| AMT43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| AMT44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 |

For purposes, it is useful to define additionally:
$\mathrm{T} 1=$ width cut of $72^{\prime \prime}$ patterns,
$\mathrm{T} 2=$ width cut of 48 "patterns,
$\mathrm{T} 3=$ width cut of $36^{\prime \prime}$ patterns,
W1 = inch of width waste from 72" patterns,
W2 = inch of width waste from 48" patterns,
W3 = inch of width waste from 36" patterns,
$\mathrm{X} 1=$ number of excess width cut of the 60 "width,
X2 $=$ number of excess width cut of the $56{ }^{\prime \prime}$ width,
X8 = number of excess width cut of the 10 " width.
Minimize the total inch waste cost.
For example: $\mathrm{MIN}=0.8625 \mathrm{~W} 1+0.8625 \mathrm{~W} 2+0.86 \mathrm{~W} 3$;
This is especially possible when the cost per square inch is not the same for all raw material widths. A more reasonable objective is to reduce the total cost. i.e.

$$
\mathrm{MIN}=0.621 * \mathrm{~T} 1+0.414 * \mathrm{~T} 2+0.3105 * \mathrm{~T} 3
$$

## III. Experiment and Result

MODEL:
SETS:
! Each raw material of the paper has a raw material width, the total is used,

Waste Total, Cost Per Unit, Waste Cost, and Supplies Available;

RM: RWDTH,T, W, C, WCOST, S;
! Each Finished good has a Width, units Required. eXtra produced;

FG: FWDTH, REQ, X;
PATTERN: USERM, WASTE, AMT;
PXF ( PATTERN, FG): NUM;

## ENDSETS

DATA:
! The raw material widths;
RM = R72 R48 R36;
RWDTH= 7248 36;
$\mathrm{C}=.621 .414 .3105$;
WCOST= .8625 .8625 .86;
S = 16001000010000 ;
! The finished good widths;
FG = F60 F56 F42 F38 F34 F24 F15 F10;
FWDTH $=6056423834241510$;
REQ $=\begin{array}{llllllll}500 & 400 & 300 & 450 & 350 & 100 & 800 & 1000 \text {; }\end{array}$
! Index of R.M. that each pattern uses;

USERM = 1111111111
1111111111
111111111
2222222222
33333 ;
! How many of each F.G. are in each R.M. pattern;
NUM $=10000001$
01000010
01000001
00100100
00100020
00100011
00100003
00011000
00010101
00010020
00010011
00010003
00002000
00001101
00001020
00001012
00001003
00000300
00000210
00000202
00000130
00000121
00000113

00000104
00000041
00000032
00000024
00000015
00000007
00100000
00010001
00001001
00000200
00000110
00000102
00000030
00000021
00000013
00000004
00001000
00000101
00000020
00000012
00000003 ;

## ENDDATA

! Minimize cost of raw material used;
MIN = TCOST;
TCOST $=@ \operatorname{SUM}(\operatorname{RM}(\mathrm{I}): \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I}) * \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{I}))$;
! Compute total cost of waste;
TOTWASTE $=@ \operatorname{SUM}(\operatorname{RM}(\mathrm{I}): \mathrm{WCOST}(\mathrm{I}) * W(\mathrm{I}))$; END

## IV. CONCLUSION

If we reduce the cost of paper waste, and then we will get a different solution if we reduce the total cost of raw materials (Clelland et al(2000)). The two different solutions obtained under two different objectives are compared in the following table:

| Patterns | Minimize total <br> paper cost |
| :--- | :--- |
| AMT1 | 500 |
| AMT2 | 400 |
| AMT5 | 171.4286 |
| AMT7 | 128.571 |
| AMT8 | 350 |
| AMT25 | 14.28571 |
| AMT31 | 100.0000 |
| AMT33 | 50.00000 |

Total waste 1232.143
Total paper cost 77521.93
T1 1564.286
T2 150.0000
T3 0
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